# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE BATH COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001



# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.kyauditor.net

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912



# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Ray F. Bailey, Bath County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Berger & Ross, PLLC, Certified Public Accountants, presents the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Sheriff of Bath County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2001.

We engaged Berger & Ross, PLLC, to perform the financial audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Berger & Ross, PLLC, evaluated the Bath County Sheriff's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Enclosure

# AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BATH COUNTY SHERIFF

Calendar Year 2001

# BERGER & ROSS, PLLC

Certified Public Accountants & Fraud Examiners 800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY 40299-1837 (502) 499-9088 Fax: (502) 499-9132

> 400 Democrat Drive Suite 2107 Frankfort, KY 40601 (502) 695-7353

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

# AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BATH COUNTY SHERIFF

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

Berger & Ross, PLLC has completed the Bath County Sheriff's audit for calendar year 2001. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presently fairly in all material respects.

## **Financial Condition:**

Net receipts of the Sheriff's calendar year 2001 Fee Account increased by \$3,233 from the prior calendar year, resulting in excess fees of \$30 as of December 31, 2001. Revenues increased by \$16,621 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$13,388.

#### **Deposits:**

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds and were covered by a written agreement.

# **CONTENTS**

**PAGE** 

NDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	3
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9



(502) 499-9088 800 Envoy Circle Louisville, Kentucky 40299-1837

FAX: (502) 499-9132 Email: irsrescue@msn.com Internet: www.irsrescue.com

vlembar:

Victoria, in the lower cold Parameters of the Community of the Parameters of the Community of the Parameters of the Parameters of the Parameters of the Samuel of the Samuel of the Samuel of Annual Community of the Parameters of the Para

Bob Ross, MBA, CPA, CFE Todd A. Berger, CPA

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Ray F. Bailey, Bath County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff of Bath County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2001. This financial statement is the responsibility of the Bath County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for County Fee Officials</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office prepared the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Ray F. Bailey, Bath County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff
Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated September 20, 2002, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Respectfully submitted,

bergettlon

Berger & Ross, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed -September 20, 2002

## BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

# For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

# Receipts

Federal Contracts: Lake Patrol Forestry	\$ 7,600 3,500	\$ 11,100
State Grants		776
State Payments: Finance and Administration Cabinet Payments KLEFPF Grant	\$ 7,620 5,286	12,906
Circuit Court Clerk: Sheriff Security Service Fines and Fees Collected	\$ 7,539 2,811	10,350
Fiscal Court		5,115
County Clerk: Delinquent Taxes		1,332
Commission on Taxes Collected		84,041
Other Fees on Tax Collection: 10% Sheriff's Penalty		15,758
Fees Collected for Services: Auto Inspections Serving Papers Accident Reports Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	\$ 3,345 8,410 241 3,240	15,236
Miscellaneous		2,990
Interest Earned		2,376
Borrowed Money: State Advancement		 62,501
Total Receipts		\$ 224,481

## BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

(Continued)

## Disbursements

Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay-

Personnel Services- Deputies' Salaries Other Salaries State Incentive Pay	\$	51,950 18,300 5,030	\$	75,280
Contracted Services- Advertising				441
Materials and Supplies-				
Office Equipment and Supplies	\$	2,180		
Uniforms and Equipment	Ψ	4,434		6,614
Onitorins and Equipment	-	4,434		0,014
Auto Expense-				
Maintenance and Repairs	\$	3,947		
Gasoline	-	4,602		8,549
		.,552_		0,0
Other Charges-				
Dues	\$	300		
Bond		81		
Postage		660		
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		2,080		
Miscellaneous		1,003		4,124
1/1/1/2001/1/1/2000		1,000		.,
Capital Outlay-				
Vehicle				6,367
				-,
Debt Service-				
State Advancement				62,501
State Travancement				02,801
Total Disbursements			\$	163,876
1 otal Disoursements			Ψ	103,070
Net Receipts			\$	60,605
Less: Statutory Maximum	\$	59,846	Ψ	00,005
Less: Training Incentive	Ψ	729		60,575
Less. Training incentive		12)		00,575
Excess Fees Due County for Calendar Year 2001			\$	30
Less: Payment to County Treasurer- February 28, 2002			Ψ	30
2005. Taymont to County Treasurer-Teordary 20, 2002				50
Balance Due at Completion of Audit			\$	0

# BATH COUNTY NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2001

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this basis of accounting, certain receipts and certain expenditures are recognized as a result of accrual at December 31, 2001.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentality's, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations back by good faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

## Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS) pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Non-hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for non-hazardous employees was 7.17 percent for the first six months of the year, and 6.41 percent the last six months of the year.

BATH COUNTY RANDALL ARMITAGE, SHERIFF NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2001 (Continued)

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for non-hazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for non-hazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is present in the Kentucky Retirement System's annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

## Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 2001, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



Todd A. Berger, CPA

(502) 499-9088 800 Envoy Circle

Louisville, Kentucky 40299-1837

FAX: (502) 499-9132 Email: irsrescue@msn.com Internet: www.irsrescue.com

To the People of Kentucky Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor Gordon C. Duke, Secretary Finance and Administration Cabinet Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet Honorable Ray F. Bailey, Bath County Judge/Executive Honorable Randall Armitage, Bath County Sheriff Members of the Bath County Fiscal Court

> Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Bath County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated September 20, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Bath County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2001, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Bath County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Berger & Ross, PLLC

berget flor

Audit fieldwork completed – September 20, 2002